THE EXTRUSION REACTION OF SULFUR DIOXIDE FROM STRAINED SULFOLENE

I. Tabushi

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Science,

Kyushu University, Maidashi, Fukuoka, 812, Japan

Y. Tamaru and Z. Yoshida

Department of Synthetic Chemistry,

Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606, Japan

(Received in Japan 23 August 1976; received in UK for publication 16 September 1976)

By many kinetic and mechanistic studies on the SO₂ extrusion reaction of substituted sulfolenes,¹ it has been elucidated that the reaction proceeds via concerted, suprafacial (disrotatory) process, in accord with the orbital symmetry conservation rule.²

Strained sulfolene, such as thiophene dioxide dimer (1), has been postulated as an intermediate to give dihydrobenzothiophene dioxide by SO₂ extrusion in the dimerization reaction of thiophene dioxide (eq. 1).³



Similar extrusion of sulfur atom⁴ or sulfur monooxide^{4C} has also been reported for 7-thiabicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene or corresponding sulfoxide, respectively.

The facts of the enol form participation in the solvolyses of anti-7-tosyloxybicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one⁵ and 3-(2-tosyloxyethyl)cyclopentanone⁶ and the facile SO₂ extrusion of 1 stimulated us to investigate the behavior of 2-oxo-(2) and 2,5-dioxo-7-thiabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-7,7-dioxide⁷ (3).

3957

Thermolyses of 2 and 3 giving cyclohexen-3-one and hydroquinone, respectively, in quatitative yields, took place at relatively low temperatures (220°C for 2 and 160°C for 3) compaired with the thermolysis of 7-thiabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane dioxide (4), which was reported to occur only at much elevated temperature (520°C).⁸ (eq. 2).



In order to gain further insight into this facile SO_2 extrusion reaction, kinetics of the thermolyses for 2 and 3 were investigated. Rate measurements were carried out on the basis of the decrease of the intensity of the carbonyl absorption in ir (1752 cm⁻¹ and 1760 cm⁻¹ for 2 and 3, respectively). The rate of thermolysis followed first-order kinetics up to 60% conversion. The activation parameters shown in Table 1 clearly indicate that thermolyses of 2 and 3 proceed mechanistically in a different manner, that is, thermolysis of 2 is governed mainly by enthalpy of activation, while thermolysis of 3 by entropy of activation. The strikinly large entropy of activation (75.7 eu.) for the decomposition of 3 may be reasonably compared with the negatively large entropy of activation (-78.5 eu.) observed for the copolymerization of isobutene and SO_2 .⁹ This seems to suggest the (stepwise) fission of carbon-sulfur bond in 3 to be the rate determining. The activation in the rate determining step¹⁰ followed by the concerted SO₂ expulsion.

One plausible rationale for this drastic mechanistic change going from 2 to 3 may be offered in view of a probably weakened sulfur-carbon bond

Table 1. First order rate constant and activation parameters for the thermolyses of $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{3}{3}$ in KBr pellet.

	Temp(°C)	$k_{app} (sec^{-1})$	∆н≠	∆s≠	∆₽ [≠]
			(kcal/mole)	(cal/deg)	(kcal/mole)
2 ∼	130.0	$(5.70 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5}$	23.7	-17.8	30.9
	140.0	$(1.21 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-4}$			
	150.0	$(2.42 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-4}$			
A V	88.9	$(3.99 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$			
	98.9	$(3.09 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$			
	108.0	$(2.32 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	55.3	75.7	26.4

caused by introduction of two carbonyl groups in a position where the dipoles of sulfonyl and carbonyl groups are arranged each other in the destabilizing direction.¹¹

References and Note

- (a) O. Grunmitt, A. E. Ardis and J. Fick, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>72</u>, 5167 (1950).
 (b) M. P. Cava and A. A. Deana, ibid., <u>81</u>, 4266 (1959).
 (c) W. L. Mock, ibid., <u>88</u>, 2857 (1966).
 (d) S. D. McGregor and D. M. Lemal, ibid., <u>88</u>, 2858 (1966).
 (c) W. L. Mock, ibid., <u>88</u>, 2858 (1966).
- 2. R. B. Woodward and R. Hoffmann, ibid., <u>87</u>, 395 (1965).
- 3. W. J. Bailey and E. W. Cummins, ibid., 76, 1936 (1954).
- 4. (a) R. Helder and H. Wynberg, Tetrahedron Letters, 605 (1972). (b) H.
 J. Kuhn and K. Gollnick, Tetrahedron Letters, 1909 (1972). (c) T. J.
 Barton, M. D. Martz and R. G. Zika, J. Org. Chem., <u>37</u>, 552 (1972).
- 5. P. G. Gassman and J. L. Marshall, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 2599 (1966).
- 6. J. L. Marshall, Tetrahedron Letters, 753 (1971).
- 7. The procedure for the preparation of 2 and 3 will be reported soon elsewhere.
- 8. E. J. Corey and E. Block, J. Org. Chem., 34, 1233 (1969).

9. F. S. Dainton, K. J. Ivin, Quart. Rev. Chem. Soc., London, 22, 61 (1958) 10. In order to get the direct evidence for enol form participation, deuterium incorporation reaction for 2 was performed in CD_3OD-D_2O (50:50 vol. first-order rate constant 3.9×10^{-5} sec.⁻¹ at 100°C), only to find no or less than 4% deuterium incorporation in recovered 2 after 50% reaction. This result may be interpreted assuming $k_{dec.}$ is much larger than k_{keto} .



Thermolysis of 3 in CD_3OD (first-order rate constant 2.0 × 10^{-4} at 90°) showed D-incorporation in neither recovered 3 nor hydroqunone.

 R. M. Moriarty, C. R. Romain and T. O. Lovett, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>89</u>, 3927 (1967).